

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Abby Fulton	18-Nov-19	1. A Clarksburg resident In support of the proposal. Park can provide accessibility to the sport to people of all ages and those who cannot afford a boat	Support	1. Comment received
Alan Levine	26.2.2020	1. Believe in managed growth and development and need for quality jobs – park does not achieve these objectives 2. Another downside is ability to host festivals and concerts creating traffic, noise, and environmental issues 3. Provides a small contribution to economic goals, while deterring other investment in the area	Oppose	1. Comment received. 2. Department of Fisheries and Oceans and Conservation Authority have reviewed potential for impacts on watercourses and environment and have confirmed limited to no adverse negative impacts. 3. Comment received.
Alan Maclean	28.07.2020	1. Fantastic addition to outdoor activities area is known for 2. Other parks have built wonderful community – increases accessibility to the sport	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Allan and Esther Nadler	8.03.2020	1. Believe in managed growth and development and need for quality jobs – park does not achieve these objectives 2. Poses impacts to the environment	Oppose	1. Comment received. 2. Department of Fisheries and Oceans and Conservation Authority have reviewed potential for impacts on watercourses and environment and have confirmed limited to no adverse negative impacts.
Alexandra Graham	21.07.2020	1. Fun affordable way for kids to stay active and connect with nature 2. More accessible to more people 3. Contributes to tourism community	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received.
Alex Henry	27.02.2020	1. Believe in managed development and growth and need for quality lifestyle amenities and jobs – this park will not achieve those objectives 2. Proposal is opposite to community character and will negatively impact environment 3. Traffic and Noise concerns	Oppose	1. Comment received. 2. Department of Fisheries and Oceans and Conservation Authority have reviewed potential for impacts on watercourses and environment and have confirmed limited to no adverse negative impacts. 3. Technical studies, including Environmental Impact Study, Noise Study, and Traffic Study, completed as part of submission.
Alex Maxwell	3-04-2021	4. Should be allowed to proceed 5. Public should be allowed to challenge the application as it moves through the process. 6. Long-term implications need to be considered.		4. Comment received. 5. Comment received. 6. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Andy Oake	18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Owner of Windmill Lake wakeboarding facility Required to complete weekly E. Coli testing and has not had any concerns at his facility His facility was supported by the Municipality and has won Eco-Tourism awards Requires special event permits for any event 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Comment received. Comment received.
Anthony Belcher	17.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Not permitted in an Employment Zone. Employment zone is intended for year-round workplaces Horse park got a use specific amendment – does not apply to the wakeboard park Official Plan allows such open air recreational uses in the Rural zone – does not belong on the subject lands. Use will preclude ability for industry to locate in TBM 	Opposed	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Discussion provided in Staff Report. Discussion provided in Staff Report. Comment received.
AJ Delzotto	11.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Low barrier to entry 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Araby Lockhart	2018-08-09	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Wrong event on the property 	Opposed	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Alan Levine	2.26.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Does not provide quality employment; low-end seasonal jobs Does not add to quality of life for residents Concern about impact of events, traffic, noise, and environment 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Employment discussion included in Staff Report Comment received. Technical studies, including Environmental Impact Study and Traffic Study, have been completed and submitted to the Town.
Allan and Esther Nadler	08-Mar-20	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of quality employment Negative impacts such as crime, traffic, noise 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Employment discussion included in Staff Report Noise Study and Traffic Impact Study completed as part of submission to the Town.
Alannah MacDonald	11.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Increases accessibility to the sport 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Alex Henry	2.27.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Does not provide quality employment; low-end seasonal jobs Concern about impact of events, traffic, noise, and environment 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Employment discussion included in Staff Report Technical studies, including noise, traffic, and environmental impact study, completed as part of submission.
Alexandra Graham	11.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Great amenity for kids to be active, safe and social Increases accessibility to the sport Contributes to Tourism 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Comment received.
Alicia Lankveld	11.19.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Great addition to an active community; Attract more business and fits with snowboarding and skiing Eco-friendly and great opportunity for youth 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Comment received.
Amy Timmerman	11.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> low barrier for entry, allows progression, contribute to tourism, and environmentally friendly 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Andrew Emmans	11.18.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Great Tourist Attraction for the area Contributes to taxes and indirect economic benefits for other businesses 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received.
Andy Sant	11.13.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Great amenity for kids to be active, safe and social 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Bailey McLean	28.07.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Ontario based wakeboarder – excellent idea for community Introduces more people to the sport and is a great family activity and has positive impact on environment 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Comment received.
Boris Nevel	18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Resident of Mississauga and supports the proposal His son is a professional in the sport and there is a need for this type of facility 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received.
Brad Reid	11.13.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Contributes to enhancement of 4-season tourism industry; environmentally friendly; Noise will be minimum considering other potential uses 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received.
Brendan Thomson	10.04.2021 08.04.2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunity for much needed employment Could open safe during a pandemic 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Employment discussion included in Staff Report Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
	24.07.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Non-competing economic boost Location is perfect beside a police station Family sport Cable Park would have no recourse with neighbouring farm regarding spray, crops, bird bangers, etc. Why has a decision not been made? Lack of movement is alarming to would-be investors 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Comment received. Comment received. Comment received.
Brian Canning	18-Nov-19	1. Clarksburg resident, supports the application	Support	1. Comment received.
Brian Wilson	28.11.2019	1. Supports the proposal	Support	1. Comment received.
Brendan Thomson	11.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Youth need employment opportunities Proximity to OPP station helps control crowds Noise By-law will control noise Camping will not be permitted Ponds are closed loop with no water from Indian Brook 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Comment received. Comment received. Comment received.
Bruce Taylor	23.07.2020	1. Great attraction for the community	Support	1. Comment received.
Bryan Funk	11.13.2019	1. Good for tourism, community, families and advancement of the sport	Support	1. Comment received.
Cabrina Skillen	21.10.2020 23.07.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Contributes to four-season recreational opportunities in the area and fulfills needs of community to solidify as a top tourism and vacation spot. Preserves the property in its closest natural state and provide much needed recreational activities for youth 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received.
Casey Thomson	18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the facility, but some improvements to existing roads may be needed The Town needs a diverse population and things to do. This facility is an opportunity for the community. 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received.
Chad Richardson	3.11.2020	1. Supports the proposal	Support	1. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Claire Freisenhausen	2018-04-25	1. Questions regarding requirement for Archaeological Study	Inquiry	1. Staff provided email response.
Colin Sless	22.10.2020	1. Support the project – will bring extra tourism money to the Town	Support	1. Comment received.
Constanza Scaglia	7.04.2021	1. Professional wakeboarder – unable to spend enough time in Canada as there are limited training facilities. 2. Need a proper facility to develop talent in Canada	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Dana Romstetter	18-Nov-19	1. Will contribute to growth of the tourism industry and is environmentally friendly 2. Makes the sport accessible to people of all ages	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Daniel Jarrett	11.17.2019	1. Owner of West Rock Lake Wake Park, Chicago IL 2. Wake park is a community hub, retains natural beauty, and provides more opportunities for outdoor activities	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Daniele Belanger	3.09.2020	1. Strongly opposed 2. Nature of community is threatened by an application of this nature. 3. Alternate location should be found where infrastructure and support services already exist 4. Lands are subject to an underlying industrial subdivision and the Town owns right-of-ways internal to the site. 5. COVID-19 has shown the need for a diversified employment opportunities within the community. 6. Need to encourage employment opportunities from outside of the retail, service, and recreation sectors 7. Using 39% of available employment lands for 10-12 low paying seasonal jobs is not positive contribution to economy of the Town.	Opposed	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Confirmed – the Town currently owns right-of-ways internal to the site. 5. Comment received. 6. Comment received. 7. Comment received.
Dary Znebel	11.18.2019	8. Head Coach of the Canadian Wakeboard Team 9. Great opportunity for a training facility to advance the sport	Support	8. Comment received. 9. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Debbie Crosskill	18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concerned with Environmental Impact and Species at Risk 2. How will the pond water be treated? 3. Concerns with excessive noise impacts 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EIS submitted as part of complete application. 2. No treatment process has been identified. 3. Noise Study submitted as part of a complete application.
Denzel Morris	11.13.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Positive economic growth; great training facility 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Derek Brown	11.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Positive economic growth; family atmosphere and good for child development 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Derek Crawford	18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted that Clark Street will be a major through road despite this specific application. This use will be an asset for the community 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Donald and Gail Cresswell	11.13.2019 11.28.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unacceptable level of noise, traffic, and adversely affect water and stream reserves and courses 2. Letters of support seem to come from outside of the community 3. Proposal has already been rejected by 8 other municipalities 4. Concerns remain about noise, traffic, special events, and environmental impact 5. Hours of operation not clear 6. Minimal benefit to economic development 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Technical studies, including Environmental Impact Study, Noise Study, and Traffic Study, completed as part of submission. Department of Fisheries and Oceans and Conservation Authority have reviewed potential for impacts on watercourses and have confirmed limited to no adverse negative impacts. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. See comment response under point 1 above. 5. Comment received. 6. Comment received.
Doug Seneshen	11.15.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concerns with Economic Benefit to the Community 2. Noise Study is inadequate 3. Traffic Study is inadequate 4. Environmental Study is inadequate 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Comment received.
Don Churchill	16.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports the proposal as a major contribution to the community. 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Ellen Kalis	21.10.2020	1. Support proposal – great addition to recreational and leisure activities	Support	1. Comment received.
Emma Sharp	3.02.2020 28.11.2019 14.11.2019	2. Proximity to residence and noise 3. Berm and buffer 4. No Quiet Enjoyment of Property 5. Crowds and Traffic 6. Little Economic Benefit to the Town 7. Water Usage 8. Septic Leaching and Water Quality 9. Environment Impact 10. Type of Clientele 11. Not in keeping with Rural character of Thornbury 12. More clarity needed on ‘Phase 2’	Oppose	2. Noise study completed as part of complete application. Landscaping and berm proposed adjacent to existing residential use. 3. Berm and landscape buffer proposed adjacent to residential use. 4. Comment received. 5. Traffic Study completed as part of application submission. 6. Comment received. 7. Private on-site services proposed. Ponds proposed to be filled from existing watercourse per DFO water taking permit. 8. Comment received. 9. Environmental Impact Study completed as part of application submission. Conservation Authority has concurred with findings and recommendations. 10. Comment received. 11. Comment received. 12. ‘Phase 2’ no longer included in proposal.
Emma Weigand	09.08.2020	1. Great family experience – indirect economic benefits i.e. hotel stays, restaurants, etc. 2. Need more outdoor recreational spaces	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Erika Langman	7.08.2020	3. On Board of Director for Waterski Wakeboard Ontario – full support for the proposal 4. Negative comments are likely result of lack of education around the park and what the facility will be.	Support	3. Comment received. 4. Comment received.
Ethan Lawson	10.21.2020	1. Increased accessibility to the sport 2. Accessible for all ages	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Gail Znebel	19.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Improves accessibility to the sport Environmentally friendly, safe and affordable 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received.
Gary Turnbull	16.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Way behind other towns in terms of recreation infrastructure 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Garry Almond	15.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
George and Mara Adams	13.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned with Noise 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noise Study completed as part of application submission.
Ginette Gallant	15.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Great addition to the community for young people to do 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Gwen Cole	2.24.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Does not provide quality employment; low-end seasonal jobs Environmental, traffic, noise impacts 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Employment discussion included in Staff Report. Technical studies completed as part of application submission.
Hania Krajewski	30.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Not in keeping with character of Clarksburg Parking concerns Environmental impacts 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Environmental Impact Study completed as part of application submission. Conservation Authority has concurred with findings and recommendations.
Hazel de Burg and Martin Tekela	25.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunity for youth activities Not concerned with excessive noise, traffic, or impact on the environment 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received.
Heather McGee	23.07.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Perfect location Great addition for local families and will attract tourists Concerns raised are not valid 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Comment received.
Helen and Alan Mark	27.2.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Inappropriate use of land. Not desirable and not in keeping with Clarksburg character 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Hugh and Anita Morris	13.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Great attraction for families 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Jacob Wigersma	7.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Instructor at Cable Park in Sarnia Builds confidence in all ages of riders Creates a sense of community Financially accessible to anyone 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Comment received. Comment received.
Jake Cheinski	11.12.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Jamie Coulter	15.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Cable Park is environmentally responsible2. Great addition to athletic offerings in the Town	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.2. Comment received.
James Hindle	18-Nov-19 18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none">3. Not the best use of the property; Environmental concerns4. Low-quality jobs with no benefit to local residents	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none">3. Comment received. Environmental Impact Study completed as part of application submission. Conservation Authority has concurred with findings and recommendations.4. Employment discussion included in Staff Report.
James Stuckey	29.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Concerns with noise, traffic	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Noise Study and Traffic Impact Study completed as part of complete application.
Janet Richter	11.11.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Canada Snowboard letter of support2. Cable Park would provide for new training opportunities for Ontario Snowboard and Canada Snowboard athletes benefitting future Snowboard Olympic Teams.	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comments received.2. Comment received.
Janis Nevison-Brearley	7.08.2020 11.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Environmentally sustainable2. Entertainment and Tourism3. Economic benefits4. Active outdoor sports and family activity5. Progression of the sport6. Important for larger community, beyond just Town residents	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.2. Comment received.3. Comment received.4. Comment received.5. Comment received.
Jan Seneshen	18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Supports the idea of a wake park, but not in this location2. Does not maintain historical character of Thornbury and Clarksburg3. Concern with impact of tour buses for national events and agricultural lands should be protected4. Strategic goal to increase employment on these lands; 10-12 jobs are being provided by the proposed use. Other uses of the lands could provide more jobs	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.2. Comment received.3. Comment received. Noted that the lands are designated <i>Urban Employment Area</i> and does not permit agricultural uses.4. Employment discussion included in Staff Report.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Jane Sutherland	18-Nov-19	1. This facility should be welcomed and will raise athleticism in the community	Support	1. Comment received.
Jason Petskin	18-Nov-19	1. Meaford resident, supports the application noting this will be the first of its type	Support	1. Comment received.
Jason Petznick	11.19.2019	1. Potential to host National Championships, which is a great opportunity for the community	Support	1. Comment received.
Jeff Mael	18-Nov-19	1. The river needs to be protected 2. Are the ponds safe for human body contact? What about E. Coli? 3. Concern about impact on groundwater	Oppose	1. Minimum setbacks of 30m maintained from Indian Brook. DFO and GSCA have reviewed and approved proposed watercourse re-alignment. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received.
Jennifer Pate and Andy Oake	11.13.2019	1. Owners of Windmill Lake Wake and Eco Park, Bayfield ON 2. Serves as a Community Centre 3. Diversification of summer activities 4. Opportunities to host local events 5. Employment 6. Safe Operation 7. Environmentally Responsible	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Comment received. 5. Comment received. 6. Comment received. 7. Comment received.
Jeremy Wentworth-Stanley	18-Nov-19	1. Long-term resident of the area. Concerns regarding identified wildlife habitat 2. Council needs to consider impacts on environment and climate	Oppose	1. Environmental Impact Study completed as part of application submission. Conservation Authority has concurred with findings and recommendations. 2. Comment received.
Jesse Storey	21.10.2020	1. Great opportunity for youth to be active	Support	1. Comment received.
Jessica Medeiros	31.07.2020	1. Cable wakeboarder in both competitive and recreational capacity and have known the applicant for 10 years. 2. Cable Park is relatively low-capacity facility and increases accessibility to the sport	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Jill Kitchen & Rob Robson	30.05.2018 28.11.2019 23.07.2022 31.08.2022	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Loud speaker noise (music, traffic), stress on road structure, will there be lights @ Grey Rd2/Hwy?, infrastructure (water/sewer), does not belong in rural community 2. Not an efficient use of Town's Employment Lands 3. Other concerns including capacity of park, long-term vision, visual impact, liquor consumption, limited benefit to community, etc. 	Opposed	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noise Study and Traffic Impact Study has been prepared as part of application submission. Property is located within the urban Settlement Area. Services proposed as private on-site sewer and water. 2. Employment land discussion included in Staff Report.
Jillian Owens	11.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Community asset; social opportunities and economic benefits 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Joanne Heller	2.24.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concerns with traffic and noise 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noise Study and Traffic Impact Study completed as part of application submission.
Joey Braden	24.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Family friendly activity 2. Place for Ontario talent to grow in the sport. 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
John and Cheryl Besley	12.02.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Eight other towns have already turned it down 4. Information provided is vague; scale of large events and full build-out not clear 5. Concerns with parking and noise 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Comment received. 4. Comment received. 5. Noise Study submitted as part of complete application.
Jonathan Bonney	11.18.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Owner of Timmins Wake Park, Timmins ON 2. Increases accessibility to the sport 3. Community oriented tourist attraction 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received.
John McTavish	18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports the proposal. Spoke to benefits to the Environment, active lifestyles, and sport development 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Judith Snyder	19.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Family friendly, promoted physical fitness, big attraction 2. Attract Canada and North American wide interest 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received
Julia Hinds	13.09.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Park will benefit our community, just as the ski hills do 2. A lot of confusion about what is proposed – people think of Wakestock 3. Decision needs to be rooted in fact 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received.
Kara Kennedy	15.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Great addition to community 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Katarina Bostrom	23.07.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Serves only to provide more play parks for youth with means and low paid service jobs for youth without means. Environmentally unsustainable High partying culture venue 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received. Comment received.
Katherine Shiriff	21.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Cable wakeboarding is in sync with social/political movements concerning environment Use provides healthy social activity for all capabilities 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received.
Kathleen O'Malley	11.18.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns with impact on rural character, such as noise, traffic, litter, drinking and drug use 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Technical studies submitted as part of complete application.
Kathryn Brown	24.07.2020 21.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Kathy Reid	11.13.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Additional outdoor activities for families to enjoy together 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Keele Wenger	6.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Runs watersport department for stores in Muskoka/Algonquin – great opportunity for community Great family activity 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received. Comment received.
Kelly McNichol	11.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> I support the cable park 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Ken Larn	18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Shelburne resident, provides accessibility to the sport for those who cannot afford a boat 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment received.
Ken McGuire	1.09.2020 2.25.2020 14.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns with Noise, parking, festivals/events, water taking from Indian Brook Lands represent 39% of total Employment Lands in the Town – seasonal, low-income operation not the best use and this is a gross under-utilization Needs to be sustainable in the long-term and provide well paying jobs to sustain life in the Town Keep it as an industrial park for employment uses – need well paying career opportunities and diverse employment Many supporters are from out of town 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Technical studies submitted as part of complete application. Employment land discussion included in Staff Report. Comment received. Comment received.
Keri Lockhart	12.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Inappropriate use of land. Concerns about noise, traffic, and tourism on quiet character of Thornbury 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Technical studies submitted as part of complete application.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Kris Lewko	16.08.2020	1. Great asset to community	Support	1. Comment received.
Krista Currie	11.18.2019	1. Opportunity for youth activities 2. Positive impact on business	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Krista Voigt	02.06.2023	1. Opportunity for additional youth activities for the area 2. Town should support great opportunities such as this proposal 3. Opportunity to support local business, young jobs 4. Noise is not considered out of range of acceptable 5. Hearing lots of support for this project to go ahead	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Comment received. 5. Comment received.
Kristopher Lewko	11.18.2019	6. Additional opportunity for kids to get active and into sports 7. Contributes to Tourism	Support	6. Comment received. 7. Comment received.
Kurtis Spencer	2.11.2020	1. Perfect fit for the Town and surrounding community 2. Beneficial to local athletes of any age	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Kyle Dickman	11.17.2019	1. Manager of SouthTown Wake Park, Rock Hill SC 2. Wakeparks make the sport more accessible to more people 3. Good for community and tourism	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received.
Laura Travosi	25.01.2021	1. Better use than a commercial industrial park 2. More than enough approved commercial development as it is. 3. Small business willing to take this on, should be allowed to	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received.
Leanne Konings	22.07.2020	4. Great addition to community 5. Provides kids with outdoor activity 6. Accessible to people of all ages	Support	4. Comment received. 5. Comment received. 6. Comment received.
Leslie Linton	23.07.2020	1. Great for kids to have something to do	Support	1. Comment received.
Lesley Wenn	2018-05-22	1. Concerned about noise, traffic, impacts, financial viability	Opposed	1. Technical studies submitted as part of complete application.
Lexi Noakes	11.12.2019	1. Makes the sport more accessible for more people	Support	1. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Liam Brearly	18-Nov-19	1. Facility will increase accessibility to the sport and will bring families together	Support	1. Comment received.
Linda Shepherd	18-Nov-19	1. New business and revenue to the Town should be encouraged 2. This facility will provide employment and will be good for young people 3. Removes the need for a boat and allows more people to enter the sport	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received.
Lorraine Sutton (Climate Action Now Network)	26.01.2021	1. Significant excavation of landscape to create ponds 2. Use will destruct soil structure and ecosystems 3. Will require extensive amounts of electricity 4. Impact Indian Brook 5. May require water taking permit 6. Increase in traffic 7. Resort attractions belong at Blue Mountain Village and Blue Mountain Resorts	Oppose	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Environmental Impact Study completed as part of application submission. Conservation Authority has concurred with findings and recommendations. 5. Water permit has been issued by the Department of Fisheries 6. Traffic Impact Study completed as part of application submission. 7. Comment received.
Lyndsy Shouldice	30.09.2020	1. Provides opportunity for all ages to try/progress in the sport 2. Great family/social activity	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Lynne Primrose	11.16.2019	3. Accessible to everyone 4. Environmentally friendly 5. Good for the youth and promotes other businesses 6. New jobs for youth and young adults	Support	3. Comment received. 4. Comment received. 5. Comment received. 6. Comment received.
Martin Tekela	17.08.2020	1. Great way to keep the property green and divert some traffic away from waterfront beaches and parks	Support	1. Comment received.
Mary Riopelle	5.04.2021	2. Would be an asset to the community	Support	2. Comment received.
Melissa Kurtin	17.08.2020	3. Not wakestock – this is a family activity 4. Provides for national training facility	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Meredith Brown	28.09.2020	1. Supports the proposal.	Support	1. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Michael Dickson	8.04.2021	1. Great facility for Canadian wakeboard athletes 2. Brings tourists and provides for family activity	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Michael Gillan	11.16.2019	1. Diversifies existing tourist attractions 2. Good for community and the sport	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Michael McCabe	13.11.2019 24.07.2020	1. Full-time resident and fully supports the proposal	Support	1. Comment received.
Mickey Henry	18-Nov-19	1. Owner of Bala Waterpark noting majority of users are from the local community. He holds one event per year	Support	1. Comment received.
Mickey Henry	21.10.2020	1. Preservation of landscape while creating jobs/giving kids opportunity outweighs any other outcomes	Support	1. Comment received.
Mike Bahl	22.10.2020	1. Sustainable/eco-friendly watersport facility for family friendly recreation 1. Enhance local summer tourism and create jobs	Support	1. Comment received. 1. Comment received.
Miklos Perlus	24.07.2020	1. Wake Park allows for greater access to the sport where Georgian Bay is not always conducive for wakeboarding 2. Increase summer recreational tourism	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Mitchell Sheppard	11.20.2019	1. Landscape/Engineering masterpiece 2. Benefit to recreational tourism economy	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Morgan Poisson	6.04.2021	1. Great revenue source and place for athletes to progress in sport 2. Cable Parks are virtually silent and would do no harm to area residents	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Neal Smitheman	24.07.2020 11.16.2019	1. Great recreational opportunity for young people 2. Employment opportunities and tax revenue	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Nick Nagribianko		1. Low barrier to entry – allows for participants of all ages 2. Benefits to physical and mental health 3. Indirect economic benefits for existing businesses 4. Diversifies existing economic base	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Comment received.
Noah Cole	2.25.2020	1. Environmental impact, traffic, litter, little benefit to community	Oppose	1. Technical studies submitted as part of complete application.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Oricia Smith	12.02.2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Georgian Bay is often much too wavy, so a land based park would be ideal2. No concerns with increase in traffic – volume of people would be low and may reduce number of people on area beaches	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.2. Comment received.
Paul Woolner	16.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Tourist attraction and does not fit the character of this area2. Road infrastructure needs to be addressed before more traffic added	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.2. Comment received.
Peggy and Paul Biggin	12.24.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Does not provide quality employment; low-end seasonal jobs2. Concern about impact of events, traffic, noise, and environment3. Concerns regarding capacity for water service needs4. Rejected by 8 other towns; not a silver bullet for this community	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Employment discussion included in Staff Report.2. Technical studies submitted as part of complete application.3. Private water services proposed.4. Comment received.
Phili Droznika and Renee Richmond	23.12.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Infrastructure is underserved and this venture will add to the problem2. Where will workers live without affordable housing?3. Concerned that this venture has been turned down by eight other municipalities	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.2. Comment received.3. Comment received.
Richard Peirce	20.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Facility is family oriented, focused on sports development, and is environmentally conscious2. Great addition to outdoor activities available in the community	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.2. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Rick Crouch	11.15.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concerned with Economic Viability and contribution to long-term economic and employment needs 2. Operating hours are not clear 3. Noise and Traffic 4. Impact on groundwater 5. Ultimate build-out of the property is not clear 6. Is this use in the best interest of the community? 7. Impact on property values 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Noise Study and Traffic Impact Study submitted as part of complete application. 4. Technical studies submitted as part of complete application. 5. Comment received. 6. Comment received. 7. Comment received.
Rik Ganderton	23.07.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Great initiative for community 2. Excellent outlet for youth and local employment 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Robert Robson	1.09.2020 11.15.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concerned with Economic Viability 2. Noise and Traffic Study may not reflect complete build-out 3. Those who have expressed support are from outside of the Community 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received.
Roger and Karen Dykstra	23.09.2020 18.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Primarily concerned with noise and traffic 2. Park of this size does not belong so close to town 3. Once the approval is granted, special permits could be applied for large events 4. Many comments in support are from people outside of the community 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noise Study and Traffic Impact Study submitted as part of complete application. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Comment received.
Ron Cunningham	16.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Makes the sport more accessible to many more people 2. Benefit local residents and encourage more people to visit. 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Roseoleen Harvey	23.07.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have met with principles of the endeavour and have no concerns 2. Will be a great addition to Thornbury and beneficial to residents and tourists 3. Developing greenspace for outdoor use is positive 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received.
Ryan Markham	11.18.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Opportunity to access a cost prohibitive sport 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Sabrina Egan	23.07.2020 19.11.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lands remain a park and will be a tourist destination 2. Outdoor activity for teens 3. Employment for youth 4. Better for environment than if the land is developed 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Comment received.
Sam Goodman	28.03.2021	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports the proposal 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Sandee Roberts and Martin Kilby	11.16.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Great opportunity for the area 3. Contributes to Tourism 4. Provides an alternative active sport for kids of all ages 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Comment received.
Sean Fleming (Wake Canada)	18.09.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Park would be first “full-size” cable park in Canada – potential provincially and nationally recognized training facility for Ontario and National Team athletes 2. Investment in environmentally friendly, affordable, safe and sustainable family recreation supporting all-ages healthy lifestyles 3. Complements and enhances local summer sports tourism and youth recreation as part of a diversified economy 4. Fully support the proposal 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received. 3. Comment received. 4. Comment received.
Shane Skillen	14.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No noise associated with the Cable Park 2. Believe it will improve property values as it is a unique attraction 3. Will attract tourism and give kids something to do 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Sid and Jane Dykstra	11.1.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concerned with potential impacts on ability to operate orchard 2. Concerned with trespassing 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Sid and Louise McFarlane	2.09.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concerns with noise and parking issues. 2. Potential to become venue for loud concerts is a possibility and camping on the property will become the norm 3. Will attract less disciplined clientele and not encouraging family activity 4. Zoning not compatible with existing residential and agricultural zoning 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noise Study and Traffic Impact Study submitted as [art of complete application. 2. If approved, special event permit would be required for festivals. Camping not proposed as a permitted use. 3. Comment received. 4. It is noted that adjacent lands are zoned Industrial and Special Agricultural.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Skylar Schmidt	6.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Excellent outdoor activity improves athleticism2. Cable system is quiet and easy for young children to learn the sport3. Opportunity to further the reputation of the area as a getaway for outdoor adventure		<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.2. Comment received.3. Comment received.
Stella Presthur (Blue Mountain Watershed Trust)	26.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Questions about stream relocation and watercourse protection2. Questions about commercial accommodation use of the property3. What is in Phase 2? Is there a Phase 3?4. Main concern is stream protection.		<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Environmental Impact Study completed as part of application submission. Conservation Authority has concurred with findings and recommendations. Department of Fisheries and Oceans has issued water taking permit and has reviewed proposed stream re-alignment.2. Commercial accommodation uses no longer proposed.3. Phase 2 included commercial accommodation uses and limited on-site commercial retail uses. These are no longer included and only one phase of development proposed.4. No development located within minimum required setback of the watercourse.
Stephanie Edminson	2.27.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Lack of quality employment2. Impacts on environment, traffic, noise	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Employment discussion included in Staff Report.2. Environmental Impact Study completed as part of application submission. Conservation Authority has concurred with findings and recommendations. Noise Study and Traffic Impact Study submitted as part of complete application.
Stephen and Wendy Cole	2.25.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Lack of quality employment2. Impacts on environment, traffic, noise	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Employment discussion included in Staff Report. Environmental Impact Study completed as part of application submission. Conservation Authority has concurred with findings and recommendations. Noise Study and Traffic Impact Study submitted as part of complete application.
Steve Roper	11.18.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Great attraction for children; environmentally friendly; positive economic benefit; enhance 4-season tourism	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.
Steven Sorensen	29.11.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Location and amenity are well conceived and complementary to four season nature of community.2. It will be safe, quiet, and sought after making area more desirable for healthy active lifestyle/community	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.2. Comment received.
Stuart Black	21.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Supports the proposal.	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park

File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
Susie Santacroce	11.17.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Safe place for people to meet and engage in a physical activity with friends and family 2. Supports tourism, provide locals with a sense of pride, develops sense of community 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Suzanne Tryhane	6.08.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Great opportunity for youth the enter the sport – affordable as no boat needed 2. Clean, safe, fun, and source of physical exercise 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Ted Cross	12.2.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agree with David and Gail Cresswell letter. 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Teresa Gregory	2018-05-14	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposal is not appropriate anywhere in the municipality; will destroy the serenity, relaxed pace & sense of small community; concern with paving over pristine land with concrete & brick; concern with traffic and waste; 	Opposed	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Terry Baetz	19.10.2022	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports the proposal 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Terry Porter	16.08.2022	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Approve the park 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Tim Barrett	11.14.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noise assessment does not consider P.A. systems 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noise Study updated to include P.A. consideration
Tom Birnie	16.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports the proposal 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Tom Elek	2.28.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Little economic benefit to the community 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Comment received.
Tom Murdison	16.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cable Park would be a great addition to the activities in this area 2. Benefits tourists and locals, old and young 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Tony Murgel	2018-08-09 2019-11-22	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Close neighbour & wishes to be kept updated – completely opposed 	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Tyler Avey	16.10.2020	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supports the proposal 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.
Uriq Congets	18-Nov-19	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The use is a benefit to the community and will bring people together 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Comment received.
Valdosta Wake Campground	11.18.2019	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Great for the community, builds the sport, and encourages more people to join 	Support	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Comment received.

Public Comment Response Matrix

Project Name: Bayou Cable Park File No.: P2619

AUTHOR	DATE RECEIVED	COMMENT SUMMARY	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	STAFF COMMENT
W Scott Christie	11.17.2019	1. Community building – great for families and a community hub. Contributes to tourism and benefits existing local businesses	Support	1. Comment received.
Wendy Boyd	11.17.2019	1. Great opportunity for the youth in the area 2. Low barrier for entry	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.
Yvette Mchugh	18.11.2019	1. Full-size park is a major benefit to the sport. Allows for athletes to train 2. Benefit to tourism in the Town	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment Received.
Zoe Coombes	18.08.2020	1. Clean electric sport 2. Fits with outdoor character of community	Support	1. Comment received. 2. Comment received.